

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/031,829	Applicant(s) HEIDRICH, MARKUS	
	Examiner Tran N. Nguyen	Art Unit 2834	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tran N. Nguyen. (3)_____.

(2) Zdorovsky, I (Reg 28563). (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 11 December 2003.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: pending claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: Prior Art in the record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the Examiner and Mr. Zdorovsky discussed about the amendment. However, the new amendment raises new issue by changing the structure of the coil body from being integrally injected molded (as amended on July 8, 03) to integrally extruded on the pole shoe. Therefore, the after-final-amendment will not be entered.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required